interactions result in higher reduction in HRQOL and PS. Thus, this crosssectional study was conducted to identify symptom cut points associated with higher reduction in HRQOL and PS, and if its effect on these outcomes were mediated by other symptoms.

Methods: A sample of 115 cancer outpatients was recruited in a university hospital, Sao Paulo, Brazil. The EORTC-QLQ-C30 was used to assess HRQOL (scores 0 to 100) and symptoms (1 to 4). Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) measured depression. PS was assessed by Karnofsky Performance Scale. The classification and regression tree (CART) analysis based on recursive partition analysis (RPA) was used to examine the data. The symptoms analyzed were: pain, depression, fatigue, insomnia, constipation, lack of appetite, dyspnea, nausea and vomiting, and diarrhea. Results: For PS, the most important predictor symptom was pain. For patients who had pain intensity ≥3, fatigue =3 was the next most important predictor and reduced PS from 73.8±11.0 to 58.46, whereas for those with pain <3, fatigue was the most important predictor. For the physical HRQOL domain, fatigue was the most important predictor, and score ≥3 was associated with 55.4% reduction in physical HRQOL score. For those who also had pain >3.5, the physical HRQOL reduced more 27.7%. For the cognitive HRQOL domain, nausea e vomiting (NV) was the most important factor. For patients with NV score >1.5, NV was the only one factor associated with reduction in QOL (from 68.84 to 46.03), but for those who had NV score ≤1.5, insomnia was the next most important predictor. In the social HRQOL domain, insomnia was the most important factor associated with reduction. For those, with insomnia ≥2, insomnia was the only predictor, but for patients with insomnia <2, the presence of any degree of dyspnea was the next most important predictor. For general HRQOL, the only one symptom associated with reduction in HRQOL was depression score >20. For those individual who had depression ≤20, their HRQOL was significantly higher than average.

**Conclusions:** Different cancer symptoms present cumulative effect that result in reduction in performance status and different HRQOL domains.

8175 POSTER

Side effects at the time of rehabilitation as reported by more than 600 cancer patients in response to an open question and a structered, closed questionaire

<u>U. Hjortebjerg</u>, C. Bruun Pister, S. Larsen, K. Mark, M. Munch Nielsen, A. Nielsen, J. Tofte, T. Kristensen. *Danish Cancer Society, Rehabilitation Centre Dallund, Soendersoe, Denmark* 

Our knowledge of side effects after cancer and its treatment is generally based on observations close to treatment. Much less is known about late complications months or years after treatment.

## Purpose:

- 1. To identify and quantify the side effects reported by cancer patients at the time of rehabilitation.
- To ascertain whether answers prompted by an open question yield results comparable to answers obtained by a structered, closed questionaire.

Methods: Before their stay at Rehabilitation Centre Dallund, each patient received a set of open questions related to the stay. One of the questions was: Do you feel discomfort, or do you have complications or side effects following your illness or treatment? The patients also received the Dallund Scale, which is a highly structered one page questionaire qualified to disclose the patients' need of rehabilitation. The scale contains the possibility of checking 64 different complications (practical, work- or family related, psychological, physical or spiritual factors). All 624 patients attending Dallund during 2005 received both the open question and the closed questionaire. the patients attended Dallund 1–107 months after treatment (mean 8.5; mode 2.0 months).

## Results:

- 1. Both the number of different complications and the frequency of side effects picked up by the "open question" are significantly less than those checked on the Dallund Scale.
- Approx 11% of the patients does not respond to the open question, but indicate complications on the Dallund Scale. Their profiles of side effects are similar to the rest of the patients.
- 3. Approx 5% of the patients simply state that they have "several complications" when answering the open question. When investigated by the Dallund Scale, these patients have profiles of side effects similar to the rest of the patients.

The profiles and side effects reported by these 624 patients will be presented.

8176 POSTER

Clinical practice guidelines on distress management in cancer care. Development and implementation at Landspitali-University Hospital in Iceland

N. Fridriksdottir<sup>1</sup>, H. Finnsdottir<sup>1</sup>, S. Gunnarsdottir<sup>1</sup>, B. Schmid<sup>2</sup>, F. Sigurdsson<sup>3</sup>, B. Skúlason<sup>4</sup>, H. Thorvaldsdottir<sup>5</sup>. <sup>1</sup>Landspitali University Hospital, Medical & Radiation Oncology & Hematology & Palliative Care, Reykjavik, Iceland; <sup>2</sup>Landspitali University Hospital, Rebilitation. Social Work Services., Reykjavik, Iceland; <sup>3</sup>Landspitali University Hospital, Medical Oncology, Reykjavik, Iceland; <sup>4</sup>Landspitali University Hospital, Rehabilitation. Pastoral Care, Reykjavik, Iceland; <sup>5</sup>Landspitali University Hospital, Rehabilitation, Psychology Services, Reykjavik, Iceland

Introduction: It is well known that cancer patients experience significant distress during their illness continuum. It has been recommended that distress should be recognized, monitored, documented and treated promptly at all stages of disease (NCCN, 2006). An interdisciplinary team was formed at Landspitali to improve the evaluation and management of distress experienced by cancer patients. The team decided to translate and adapt the guidelines on distress management developed by the US National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN).

**Methods:** The team has worked on translating and adapting the guidelines on distress management, and translating and adapting an information booklet for patients and their family members based on the NCCN clinical practice guidelines. The Distress Thermometer (DT) a brief screening tool for distress, a single item screening measure from 0 (no distress) to 10 (extreme distress) and a Problem List of 35 items, has also been translated and evaluated for it's psychometric properties. Implementation into clinical practice includes regular meetings with staff, and a conference has been held on the integration of psycho-oncology into cancer care. A pilot test of the guidelines, screening tool and information booklet is scheduled for spring and summer of 2007, followed by a full implementation and evaluation of the project.

Results: The psychometric evaluation of the DT supported that the screening tool is valid, reliable and feasible to use. The results showed a cut-off point of 3 and it took an average of 5 minutes to complete the DT and the Problem list. In the work process a number of challenges have been encountered relating to the translation and adaptation of the guidelines, and the adaptation of new practices. The project is ongoing and a full implementation is scheduled in fall of 2007.

Conclusion: The psychometric evaluation of the DT has added to the growing literature supporting its use both in clinical work and research. The planned evaluation of the guidelines and patient information booklet will determine their usefulness in improving screening and management of distress in cancer patients and hopefully encourage further work on the use of the NCCN'S clinical practice guidelines on distress management.

77 POSTER

Quality of life and rehabilitation needs of Icelandic patients receiving chemotherapy, longitudinal study

T. Saevarsdottir, N. Fridriksdottir, S. Gunnarsdottir. Landspitali University Hospital, Medical & Radiation Oncology Hematology & Palliative Care, Reykjavik, Iceland

The diagnosis and treatment of cancer is known to affect quality of life (QOL). Interest in examining QOL of Icelandic cancer patients is increasing, however longitudinal studies have not yet been performed.

The purpose of this study was to describe QOL and to assess rehabilitation needs, over time in a group of Icelandic cancer patients receiving chemotherapy. QOL and rehabilitations needs were measured with the Icelandic version of CARES-SF (CAncer Rehabilitation Evaluation System, Short Form). CARES-SF contains 59 problem statements, divided into five QOL dimensions. Possible range of the Global QOL score is 0–4, higher scores indicate worse QOL. Rehabilitations needs are evaluated by asking the respondent if he wants help with a particular problem.

The first measure was completed by 144 patients, at the initiation of a chemotherapy protocol (T1), 109 and 89 patients completed the second (T2) and third (T3) measure after three and six months, respectively. Enrolled into the study were 90 women and 54 men, mean (SD) age was 55 (12.1) years. The participants had a variety of cancer diagnoses, 91 (64%) had advanced cancer, and median time from diagnosis was 4 weeks. Participants were receiving a variety of chemotherapy protocols.

Global QOL score (SD) was found significantly higher at T2 (0.96 (0.53)), and T3 (0.90 (0.50)) compared to baseline (0.70 (0.43)). The score at T3 indicates improvement of QOL, however the difference was not significant. At all time points the global QOL score was found highest in the sexual and the physical dimensions. Demographic and disease variables were not found significantly related to quality of life, with the exception of age. Those who were older experienced better quality of life compared to those who